IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORIGINAL ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2 OF 2004
IN
APPEAL NO. 878 OF 2003.

1. M/s. Chemi Equip Ltd. & 2 Ors.] .. Petitioners (Ori.Petitioners)

Versus

1. Raghuvanshi Cooperative Bank Ltd.] .. Respondents (Ori.Respondents)

None for the appellants - petitioners.

Mr. S.K. Nair, AGP, for the respondents No.2 & 4.

CORAM: R.M. LODHA &
SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.

DATED: 18TH SEPTEMBER, 2006

P.C. :

. None appears for the petitioners. Mr. S.K. Nair, Assistant Government Pleader appears for respondents No.2 and 4.

2. The matter was kept today in the Chamber for admission as both of us have different benches as per the existing assignment.

3. The Board shows the name of the Advocate for petitioners, but despite that, neither the Advocate nor

anybody on behalf of the petitioners is present.

4. With the assistance of the Advocate for respondents No.2 and 4, we perused the impugned order and the grounds set out in the Review Petition. No case for review is made out. The order cannot be said to suffer from any error apparent on the face of the record.

. Review Petition is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
[R.M. LODHA, J.]

Sd/-
[SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.]